



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,986	12/24/2003	Akihiro Mochizuki	350292001900	3442
<div>7590 12/15/2008</div> <div>Barry E. Bretschneider Morrison &amp; Foerster LLP Suite 300 1650 Tysons Boulevard McLean, VA 22102</div> <div>EXAMINER SCHECHTER, ANDREW M</div> <div>ART UNIT 2871 PAPER NUMBER</div> <div>MAIL DATE 12/15/2008 DELIVERY MODE PAPER</div>				

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/766,986

**Applicant(s)**

MOCHIZUKI ET AL.

**Examiner**

ANDREW SCHECHTER

**Art Unit**

2871

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Andrew Schechter.(3) Akihiro Mochizuki.(2) Jonathan Bockman, Reg. No. 45640.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 08 December 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Tanaka, Taketori.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner, the attorney for the applicant, and the applicant discussed the differences between the applied prior art and the applicant's invention. The examiner will await a formal response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

(Andrew Schechter/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2871